

# SAINSBURY'S PRIVACY ECOSYSTEM

Policy Review Dossier: Sainsbury's Group Privacy Policy + Sainsbury's Bank Privacy Policy

Public-facing hardened edition | Evidence-bound review from supplied policy text

**Release boundary: this document is a public privacy and governance review. It separates quoted policy evidence from review interpretation. It is not a legal determination that any organisation has acted unlawfully.**

## Scope

This dossier reviews two source policy texts: (1) Sainsbury's Group Privacy Policy, stated in the supplied text as most recently updated in April 2026, and (2) Sainsbury's Bank Privacy Policy, stated in the supplied text as most recently updated in May 2025. It quotes policy language, identifies where the relevant language appears, and explains where retail and bank policy language may converge into meaningful privacy risk.

## Evidence boundary

No live web verification was available in the production environment for the source review. The analysis is therefore based on the supplied policy text only. Email contacts, named providers and policy dates should be verified against current official policy pages before any customer sends a request or complaint.

Primary question	Where do the retail and bank policies converge into meaningful privacy risk?
Core answer	A possible group-level customer identity/profile map enriched with shopping, financial, device, biometric, fraud, advertising and insurance signals.
Public-facing phrase	When personalisation becomes profiling, biometrics and watchlists, customers deserve real choice - not unclear or hard-to-see consent.

Prepared by GOLDLEVEL

# 1. Executive summary

The strongest convergence across both policies is not simple advertising. It is the combination of customer profiling, security and fraud infrastructure, third-party enrichment, automated/risk decisioning and long retention across a group ecosystem.

Top convergence red flag	Retail policy evidence	Bank policy evidence	Human impact concern
1. Group-wide profiling	Shopping, Nectar, Argos, apps, device data, third-party data, profiled characteristics, digital ads.	Bank, Argos Financial Services, Nectar, insurance, CRA, device, application and account data.	A person may become a persistent commercial/risk profile across contexts.
2. Security-driven processing gateway	CCTV, ANPR, body-worn devices, FRT alerts, Facewatch watchlist alerts, in-store and online behaviour monitoring.	Behavioural biometrics, device location, fraud agencies, CRAs, National Hunter, CIFAS, TDX, law-enforcement requests.	The most consequential processing is often framed as safety or fraud prevention, making it harder to refuse or understand.
3. Consent gap	Legitimate interests cover shopping experience, analytics, profiling, data modelling, safety, customer service and retention.	Legitimate interests cover profiling, marketing, fraud prevention, debt recovery, CRA checks, service development and retention.	Rejecting cookies or marketing may not stop the underlying profile or risk-processing layer.

## Clean read

The policies disclose a possible system architecture where the customer can be understood through purchases, devices, location-adjacent signals, financial applications, behavioural biometrics, advertising interaction, insurance relationships and fraud/security databases. The issue is less one isolated clause and more the convergence of many ordinary-looking clauses into a cross-context data basin.

## 2. Evidence map: where the key language appears

The table below is the source ledger for the review. Exact web page numbers were not available from the pasted website text, so locations are given by policy name and section heading.

ID	Policy location	Quoted policy text	Review meaning
R1	Sainsbury's Group Privacy Policy > Summary	Your personal data is, where appropriate, shared within the Sainsbury's Group.	Group sharing is a starting premise, not an exception.
R2	Group Privacy Policy > Analytics data	predictions about your interests, shopping habits and characteristics (we call these 'profiled characteristics').	The policy explicitly contemplates inferred characteristics.
R3	Group Privacy Policy > Third party data	Experian, CACI, Royal Mail... modelled household profile... individual or anonymous level such as information based on your post code.	External data enrichment is expressly disclosed.
R4	Group Privacy Policy > CCTV data	CCTV, automatic number plate recognition (ANPR) and body worn recording devices... alerts we receive from FRT systems where applicable.	CCTV is an umbrella for multiple surveillance systems.
R5	Group Privacy Policy > Facial Recognition Technology	FRT in our stores is provided by Facewatch... We supply details (including CCTV images)... may add to a list of subjects of interest.	External facial-recognition/watchlist infrastructure is disclosed.
R6	Group Privacy Policy > Analytics and profiling	creating profiles about you and grouping you together with other Sainsbury's customers who have similar interests or preferences as you.	Profile-based grouping is explicit.
R7	Group Privacy Policy > Digital advertising	other websites, apps, or social media platforms... Connected TVs... selected third parties	Ad targeting extends beyond Sainsbury's own websites.
B1	Sainsbury's Bank Privacy Policy > Personal information we hold	Behavioural biometric information (e.g., your typing speed, device movement and swiping activity).	The Bank adds behavioural biometrics.
B2	Bank Privacy Policy > Fraud Prevention	If we or our partner agencies detect fraud... you could be refused certain services, finance or employment now and in the future.	Fraud signals can have external-life consequences.
B3	Bank Privacy Policy > Credit Reference Agencies	we may perform credit and identity checks... periodically... credit limit adjustments, spend evaluation and card reissue.	CRA checks can continue after application.
B4	Bank Privacy Policy > Automated decisioning for credit products	vast majority of cases automatically... whether to offer you credit, and on what rate.	Automated decisions can affect access and price.
B5	Bank Privacy Policy > Customer Authentication/Two Factor Authentication	typing speed/pressure, mouse movement, device movement and swiping activity... location and device ID/type... screened by... Callsign.	Behavioural, device and risk signals combine into a profile.

## 3. Finding 1 - One customer may be mapped as a group-wide profile

### Breakdown

Across the retail and bank policies, the same person can be known through shopping, Nectar, Argos, apps, websites, marketing interactions, banking products, insurance products, credit applications, device information and third-party customer data. The policy language does not present this as a narrow one-purpose data flow; it repeatedly references group sharing, personalisation, profiling and relevant marketing.

#### R1 - Retail policy > Summary

*"Your personal data is, where appropriate, shared within the Sainsbury's Group."*

Review read: This opens the door to cross-brand use, subject to the stated purposes and lawful bases.

#### R6 - Retail policy > Analytics and profiling

*"This includes understanding the products and services you buy, how you shop across the whole Sainsbury's Group, creating profiles about you and grouping you together with other Sainsbury's customers who have similar interests or preferences as you."*

Review read: The policy expressly identifies whole-group shopping analysis and profile grouping.

#### B6 - Bank policy > Sainsbury's Group sharing

*"we may share your personal information with companies within the Sainsbury's Group so that we can provide you with a high quality, personalised and tailored service (including relevant marketing) across the Sainsbury's Group"*

Review read: The Bank policy mirrors the group-level personalisation logic.

### Why this matters

A customer may reasonably think supermarket shopping, Nectar, Argos purchases, banking, travel money and insurance are separate contexts. The policies disclose that these contexts may become connected for service, personalisation, marketing, analytics and risk purposes. The human concern is contextual collapse: data given in one setting may shape treatment in another.

## 4. Finding 2 - Third-party enrichment and ad-platform reach

### Breakdown

Both policies disclose third-party participation. Retail data can be enriched by external customer-data providers. Advertising can involve digital media platform partners. The Bank adds credit reference agencies, fraud prevention agencies, payment schemes, insurers, underwriters, debt recovery and authentication providers.

### R3 - Retail policy > Third party data

*"This is personal data provided by companies that provide customer information or that is publicly available (e.g. Experian, CACI, Royal Mail). These might be companies that help us verify or update your address, or companies that provide us with information on your modelled household profile."*

Review read: External customer-data enrichment is explicit.

### R8 - Retail policy > Companies we partner with

*"Advertising companies, partners and suppliers, or digital media platform partners like Meta, Tiktok, X and Google, who help us target Sainsbury's Group or selected third party partner adverts online and on other media"*

Review read: Retail activity can feed targeting and measurement with major ad platforms.

### B7 - Bank policy > Service providers

*"Advertising companies, partners and suppliers, or digital media platform partners like Meta and Google... Social media providers - such as Facebook, Instagram and Twitter(X)."*

Review read: Bank-related marketing and platform use are also disclosed.

### Why this matters

The risk is not only that one organisation knows something. The risk is that group companies, ad platforms, data brokers, credit reference agencies, fraud agencies, payment providers and insurance partners can each become part of the data picture. The customer then faces a distributed system whose complete map is hard to see.

## 5. Finding 3 - Security and fraud prevention are the most consequential data gateway

### Breakdown

Marketing choices are visible to the customer. Security/fraud systems are less visible and often harder to refuse. Across both policies, safety and fraud language covers in-store monitoring, online behaviour monitoring, CCTV, ANPR, FRT alerts, device location, behavioural information, fraud databases, credit agencies and debt recovery.

### R9 - Retail policy > Safety, security and fraud prevention

*"To enable this, we monitor behaviour instore and online and carry out checks to help us ensure that our customers are genuine."*

Review read: This is broad: both physical and online behaviour can be monitored.

### R10 - Retail policy > CCTV

*"We use CCTV across all sites... This includes investigating accidents, incidents, criminal activities, and breaches of our policies... operational efficiency, such as monitoring the availability of products on our shelves."*

Review read: CCTV is used for security and operational purposes.

### B8 - Bank policy > Fraud Prevention

*"This includes collecting device (e.g., location of device and IP address) and behavioural information (e.g., how you interact with our website) when you logon and transact with our websites and mobile apps."*

Review read: The Bank explicitly links device and behaviour signals to fraud systems.

### B2 - Bank policy > Fraud Prevention

*"If we or our partner agencies detect fraud and/or any unlawful conduct you could be refused certain services, finance or employment now and in the future."*

Review read: This is a high-impact clause because consequences may extend beyond Sainsbury's Bank.

### Why this matters

The issue is not that security or fraud prevention should not exist. The public interest concern is proportionality, transparency, challenge rights, error correction and human review when security signals can affect access to services or financial treatment.

## 6. Finding 4 - Face recognition and behavioural biometrics

### Breakdown

The retail policy discloses facial-recognition technology in some stores through Facewatch. The Bank policy discloses behavioural biometric processing for authentication and fraud prevention through Callsign. These are different systems, but they converge around the same human issue: the person is increasingly identified or assessed through body/behaviour-derived signals.

#### R11 - Retail policy > Facial Recognition Technology

*"We have implemented FRT in a number of stores for the purposes of protecting our colleagues from harm and aggression, to provide a secure shopping environment for our customers and to prevent and deter criminal activity within our stores."*

Review read: FRT is framed as safety/security.

#### R5 - Retail policy > Facial Recognition Technology

*"FRT in our stores is provided by Facewatch... We supply details (including CCTV images) of people responsible for unlawful acts within our premises to Facewatch which they, following further checks, may add to a list of subjects of interest."*

Review read: This describes submission into an external subject-of-interest system.

#### R12 - Retail policy > Facial Recognition Technology

*"We do not have access to that list of subjects of interest but receive alerts when they enter our stores."*

Review read: Not accessing the full list does not remove alert-based operational impact.

#### B5 - Bank policy > Customer Authentication/Two Factor Authentication

*"key stroke dynamics including: typing speed/pressure, mouse movement, device movement and swiping activity (plus BOT or remote access trojan detection) which is combined with other device intelligence such as location and device ID/type of device."*

Review read: This is a behavioural biometric and device-intelligence stack.

#### B9 - Bank policy > Customer Authentication/Two Factor Authentication

*"The personal data captured builds up user profile and is layered against other device intelligence and fraud factors, screened by our third-party solution provider (Callsign)."*

Review read: The policy expressly says a user profile is built.

### Why this matters

Facial recognition alerts and behavioural biometric profiles can feel like opaque judgement systems unless customers can understand the data, correct it, contest it and obtain human review.

## 7. Finding 5 - Automated decisions, credit, fraud databases and CRAs

### Breakdown

The Bank policy goes beyond retail profiling. It includes automated credit decisions, credit reference agency checks, fraud prevention agencies and credit/debt reporting. This can affect rates, limits, acceptance, debt recovery and possibly access to future services.

#### B4 - Bank policy > Automated decisioning for credit products

*"we will make a decision in the vast majority of cases automatically, using a credit decisioning system, about whether to offer you credit, and on what rate."*

Review read: Automated decisioning can affect both access and price.

#### B3 - Bank policy > Credit Reference Agencies

*"we may perform credit and identity checks on you with one or more of the main credit reference agencies... periodically... credit limit adjustments, spend evaluation and card reissue."*

Review read: CRA checks are not only at application stage.

#### B10 - Bank policy > Credit Reference Agencies

*"If you fail to pay back your loan, store card or credit card in full or on time, we will inform the Credit Reference Agencies who will record this as an outstanding debt. This can be viewed by other organisations."*

Review read: Bank events can become broader credit-file events.

#### B11 - Bank policy > Fraud Prevention

*"The three main Credit Reference Agencies that we use are TransUnion, Equifax and Experian... National Hunter... CIFAS."*

Review read: Credit and fraud-agency ecosystems are named.

#### B12 - Bank policy > Credit Reference Agencies

*"If you fall into arrears... we may share your personal information with the following third parties to trace and recover the debt: TDX."*

Review read: Debt-recovery data sharing is expressly disclosed.

### Why this matters

A customer needs to know which data sources were used, which automated or semi-automated logic affected them, whether any fraud or debt markers exist, and how to request manual review or correction.

## 8. Finding 6 - Opt-out controls may not stop the underlying profile

### Breakdown

Both policies use consent for some marketing and cookie/ad uses. But many important processes rely on legitimate interests, legal obligation, performance of contract, substantial public interest or financial regulation. This means a marketing opt-out is not the same as a full profiling stop.

### R13 - Retail policy > Analytics and profiling

*"Legal bases: Legitimate interest; Consent"*

Review read: Profiling is not solely consent-based in the retail policy.

### R14 - Retail policy > Create data models

*"We take personal data and analyse it to look for patterns, trends and characteristics that align with a particular outcome... on an anonymous basis - we call these frameworks 'data models'. Legal basis: Legitimate interest."*

Review read: Personal data can be used to build models even if outputs are described as anonymous.

### B13 - Bank policy > Marketing

*"Consent: Depending on the marketing activity that we undertake, we may obtain your consent... Legitimate Interest: To promote our products and/or services to you and provide you with details of offers you may be interested in."*

Review read: Some marketing-related activity can be legitimate-interest based.

### B14 - Bank policy > Additional ways... Customer Authentication

*"Legal Obligation... Substantial Public Interest... prevention or detection of an unlawful act... protecting the economic wellbeing of our customers"*

Review read: Security/authentication processing is not controlled like marketing consent.

### Why this matters

A customer may believe 'reject cookies' or 'unsubscribe' means the company has stopped building a profile. The policy text suggests a narrower reality: visible advertising may reduce, while profiling for service improvement, fraud, security, data modelling, legal compliance, financial risk and retention may continue.

## 9. Finding 7 - Long retention and controller complexity

### Breakdown

The policies contain long retention periods and complicated controller arrangements. The Bank policy is especially complex because some credit card, savings and personal loan accounts transferred to NatWest on 1 May 2025 while Sainsbury's Bank continues to service transferred accounts on NatWest's behalf.

#### **R15 - Retail policy > How long will we keep your personal information for?**

*"In most cases, our retention period will come to an end 7 years after the end of your relationship with us."*

Review read: Seven years after the end of relationship is the retail baseline.

#### **B15 - Bank policy > How long will we keep your personal information for?**

*"In most cases, our retention period will come to an end 7 years after the end of your relationship with us. However, in some instances we are required to hold your personal information for up to 13 years... e.g. mortgage products."*

Review read: Bank retention can extend to 13 years for some records.

#### **B16 - Bank policy > Opening notice and footer**

*"Sainsbury's Bank branded savings accounts, personal loans and credit cards... were transferred to National Westminster Bank Plc on 1st May 2025... Sainsbury's Bank Plc continues to service transferred savings accounts, personal loans and credit cards on behalf of National Westminster Bank Plc."*

Review read: This creates a data map involving NatWest and Sainsbury's Bank servicing.

#### **R16/B17 - Retail and Bank policies > International transfers**

*"From time to time we transfer your personal information to... suppliers or service providers based outside of the United Kingdom... appropriate safeguards"*

Review read: International transfer language is broad and vendor-dependent.

### Why this matters

The customer needs category-level retention clocks, controller/processor mapping, transfer mapping and deletion or review routes that do not depend on guessing which group or service provider now holds the relevant data.

## 10. What appears problematic from a human-centred standard

This section translates the evidence into a human-centred privacy critique. It does not assert illegality. It identifies where the disclosed data architecture can become disproportionate, opaque, or hard to contest.

System move	What the policy allows/discloses	Human concern	Minimum better standard
Person becomes profile	Group-wide profiling, inferred characteristics, modelled household profiles, bank/insurance signals.	A person is reduced to predictions, segments and risk signals across contexts.	Purpose separation, plain data receipts, no cross-context use without clear opt-in where appropriate.
Security becomes persistent monitoring	CCTV, ANPR, body-worn cameras, FRT alerts, device location, behavioural biometrics.	Safety language can mask continuous or high-friction monitoring.	Necessity tests, short retention, clear signage, transparent watchlist/alert route and independent audit.
Consent becomes narrow	Cookies and marketing can be refused, but legitimate interests/legal obligations remain broad.	The visible choice may not match the real processing layer.	Granular controls that distinguish ads, profiling, group sharing, data modelling and biometrics.
Decisions become distant	Automated credit/rate decisions, fraud agencies, CRA updates, debt recovery.	The customer may not see the rule, score, marker or database shaping them.	Meaningful explanation, marker disclosure, manual review, appeal and correction routes.
Data becomes durable	7-year or 13-year retention, regulatory/debt/fraud justifications.	Old data may continue shaping access, offers or risk long after the original interaction.	Data-category retention clocks, deletion-by-default where possible, expiry notices.

**Core problem statement: Human behaviour is converted into commercial, security, financial and risk signals. The customer may be given some visible choices, but the strongest processing sits behind security, fraud prevention, legal obligation, legitimate interests and group-service language.**

## 11. Fresh basin: what better systems should build instead

The alternative is not 'no data'. A workable human-centred model still permits service delivery, fraud prevention, compliance and safety. The difference is that the system is purpose-limited, explainable, challengeable and locally accountable.

Current pattern	Alternative build	Implementation example
Bundled group consent	Separate choices by context	Separate toggles for retail, Nectar, Argos, Bank, insurance, third-party ads, data modelling and group sharing.
Opaque profiling	Plain data receipt	A customer dashboard showing data category, source, purpose, lawful basis, recipient, retention period and active profile labels.
Ad opt-out only	Profiling opt-out with real effect	One control that stops non-essential profiling, lookalike audiences, partner ads, cross-group marketing and model-training use where not legally required.
Watchlist/alert opacity	Visible rights path	For FRT or fraud/security flags: provide notice, human review, appeal, correction, retention deadline and audit log.
Behavioural biometric opacity	Security without silent expansion	Use behavioural biometrics only for authentication/fraud; publish provider, data fields, retention, false-positive route and manual alternative.
Automated credit opacity	Explainable decisioning	For credit/rate decisions: show main factors, data sources, CRA used, manual review option and how to add missing context.
Long retention by default	Deletion schedule by category	Default short retention for CCTV/FRT/auth logs/marketing profiles; longer retention only where a named legal basis requires it.
Third-party sprawl	Recipient register	Public and customer-specific list of processors/controllers: ad platforms, CRAs, fraud agencies, insurers, underwriters and authentication vendors.

### Fresh basin one-liner

**Let me choose. Show me why. Limit the data. Let a human review.**

## 12. Action pack: exact questions to put to the DPO

Use this section to turn the review into a rights request or complaint. Keep the request focused on evidence, not accusation. Verify current DPO/contact addresses against official policy pages before sending.

Topic	Question
Group profile	What group-level profile, segment, inferred characteristic or modelled household data is associated with me across Sainsbury's, Argos, Nectar, Habitat, Sainsbury's Bank and Argos Financial Services?
Third-party sources	What data about me was obtained from Experian, CACI, Royal Mail, CRAs, fraud agencies, social media providers, ad platforms, insurers, underwriters or other external providers?
FRT / Facewatch	Have any CCTV images, FRT alerts, Facewatch records, subject-of-interest records or related watchlist data been processed about me?
Behavioural biometrics	Has Callsign or any other provider processed my typing speed, pressure, mouse movement, swiping, device movement, location, device ID or risk factors?
Fraud markers	Do I have any fraud, risk, security, authentication, CRA, National Hunter, CIFAS or debt-recovery markers connected to me?
Automated decisions	Have automated systems affected credit eligibility, rate, limit, card reissue, fraud treatment, access, marketing suppression or service availability?
Retention	For each data category, what is the retention period and the event that starts the deletion clock?
Opt-out effect	Which forms of profiling stop when I opt out of marketing or select required-only cookies, and which continue under legitimate interests, legal obligation or contract?

## 13. Draft wording: DSAR + objection

### Subject: Data subject access request and objection to non-essential profiling

#### Suggested customer request to Sainsbury's / Sainsbury's Bank DPO

*"Please provide a copy of all personal data you hold about me, including account data, transaction data, application data, analytics and profiling data, inferred characteristics, modelled household data, marketing segments, advertising audience data, device data, CCTV/ANPR/FRT-related records, behavioural biometric data, authentication data, fraud-screening data, CRA data, insurance-product data, Nectar-linked data, automated decisioning data, and any records shared with or received from Sainsbury's Group companies, Nectar 360, Argos Financial Services, NatWest, TransUnion, Equifax, Experian, National Hunter, CIFAS, TDX, Facewatch, Callsign, Meta, Google, TikTok, X, insurers, underwriters, claims databases, debt-recovery providers, ad platforms, analytics providers or security/fraud-prevention partners. Please include the source of each data category, lawful basis, purpose, recipient or category of recipient, retention period, data-sharing dates where available, automated decisioning logic in meaningful terms, profile labels, risk scores, fraud markers, watchlist or alert records, and any route for manual review, correction, appeal or deletion. I object to processing based on legitimate interests for direct marketing, profiling for marketing, personalised advertising, audience creation, lookalike modelling, non-essential analytics, cross-group profiling and non-essential data modelling where this relates to me."*

#### Suggested destination emails from the supplied policy text

Retail policy: [privacy@sainsburys.co.uk](mailto:privacy@sainsburys.co.uk). Bank policy: [privacy.bank@sainsburysbank.co.uk](mailto:privacy.bank@sainsburysbank.co.uk). Facewatch contact in the retail policy: [dpo@facewatch.co.uk](mailto:dpo@facewatch.co.uk).

Public-use caution: verify these addresses on the current official policy pages before sending. Do not include unnecessary extra personal data in the request beyond what is needed to identify your account and route the request.

## 14. Public-facing summary and short messages

Use these if the review needs a short public caption, chatbot message or complaint hook. They are designed to be accurate without overclaiming.

Use case	Message
Public social caption	When 'personalisation' becomes profiling, biometrics and watchlists, customers deserve real choice - not unclear or hard-to-see consent.
Short chatbot flag	Group profiling + biometrics concern
Bank-specific flag	Bank biometrics: DPO review
FRT-specific flag	Facewatch FRT: DPO review
Plain English summary	My shopping, banking, devices, ads, biometrics and fraud checks should not become one hard-to-see profile.
Fresh basin line	Let me choose. Show me why. Limit the data. Let a human review.

### Final review conclusion

**Across both policies, the material concern is convergence: ordinary-looking retail, marketing, banking, insurance, fraud-prevention and security clauses may combine into a broad identity/risk/profile system. The strongest remedy is not only 'unsubscribe'. It is a data-rights request focused on cross-group profiling, biometric/security processing, third-party sources, automated decisions, fraud markers, retention clocks and real human review.**

End of public-facing dossier.